

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,760	10/30/2003	Minhua Lu	YOR920030499US1 (17075)	8778
23389	7590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			WANG, GEORGE Y	
SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CIT	GARDEN CITY, NY 11530		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	2	}
ı	(J

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/697,760	LU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
George Y. Wang	2871	

	George Y. Wang	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 75 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		George Wang	
		Examiner AU 2781	
		February 20, 2006	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amends independent claim 1 to include the limitation "to thereby eliminate 90 degree meta-stable states at the surface fo the said alignment film layer resulting" in an increased alignment for constraining deposited LC material to a direction "parallel to the grooves." Because this limitation was never previously claimed (and therefore never searched), only upon further search and consideration will patentability be determined.

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER